

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/712,100 11/14/2003 Shunji Hayashi SKI.008C2 8230 EXAMINER 20987 11/03/2005 7590 **VOLENTINE FRANCOS, & WHITT PLLC** BAHTA, KIDEST ONE FREEDOM SQUARE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 11951 FREEDOM DRIVE SUITE 1260 RESTON, VA 20190

2125
DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/712,100	HAYASHI, SHUNJI
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Kidest Bahta	2125
The MAILING DATE of this cor			
Period for Reply			•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM T - Extensions of time may be available under the pro- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxi - Failure to reply within the set or extended period of Any reply received by the Office later than three in earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70	HE MAILING DAT ovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a is communication. mum statutory period will a for reply will, by statute, ca nonths after the mailing da	E OF THIS COMMUNICATION  a). In no event, however, may a reply be time  apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a use the application to become ABANDONET	ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1) Responsive to communication	(s) filed on 12 Octo	ber 2005.	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-12,17-20 and 23-27</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-12,17-20 and 23-27</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to i	estriction and/or e	lection requirement.	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is object	ted to by the Exan	niner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>09/276,804</u> .			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office	action for a list of	the certified copies not receive	d.
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	description and	4) Interview Summary	
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revision</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2125

## **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 10-12, 17-20 and 23 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of U.S.

Patent No. 6,665,576. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the organization elements in the claims and their function are merely obvious variations of each other. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to rewriting the claims in different combination. Please note that the limitations of claims 10-12, 17-20 and 23 are covered in claims 1-12 of the patent.

As for claims 11, 12, 13, 17-20, the elements are fully disclosed in claims of 11, 3, 7-10, respectively, of the patent.

As for claims 10 and 23, the elements are fully discloses in claims 1, 3, 5 and 9, of the patent.

As for claims 24-27, since claims are depend on rejection claims, claims 24-27 also rejected.

## Response to Arguments/Response to Amendment

2. Applicant's arguments filed October 12, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant canceled independent claims 9 and 13, and add new independent claim 23. Applicant argues for the new independent claim 23 which included the limitation "generating a correlation matrix based on the plurality off data" Applicant argues it is not the same invention as claims of U.S. 6,665,576. However, Examiner disagrees since the limitations of claim 23, "generating a correlation matrix based on the plurality off data", is same as the limitations of claim 5, "obtaining a set of matrix elements using a matrix calculator, based on the standardized sample values". Since claim 23 is the combinations of claims 1, 2, 5, and 9. Claim 23 is the same invention as the claims of patent 6,665,576.

## Conclusion

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/712,100 Page 4

Art Unit: 2125

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed Kidest Bahta whose telephone number is 571-272-3737. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard can be reached on 571-272-3749. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application information Retrieval IPAIRI system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PMR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAG system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-fee).

Kidest Bahta

October 31, 2005